FILED **CLERK**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4/30/2024 12:04 pm EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

: 18-cr-00578-JS-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

- versus -

: U.S. Courthouse

: Central Islip, New York

PATRICE RUNNER,

: May 17, 2023

Defendant : 1:33 p.m.

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR BAIL HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANNE Y. SHIELDS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PPEARANCES: Α

<u>For the Government</u>: Breon S. Peace, Esq.

United States Attorney

John W. Burke, Esq. BY:

Charles B. Dunn, Esq.

USDOJ - Consumer Protection

Branch

PO Box 386

Washington, DC 20044

For the Defendant: Charles V. Millioen, Esq.

James Darrow, Esq.

Federal Defenders of New York

Assistant Federal Defender

770 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, New York 11722

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc. <u>Transcription Service</u>:

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795 RL.Transcriptions2@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

Calling 18-0578, United States of 1 THE CLERK: 2 America v. Patrice Runner. Please state your appearances 3 for the record. MR. BURKE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 4 5 Burke for the United States. 6

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. BURKE: And with me are my --

THE COURT: And with you?

With me are co-counsel, Charles MR. BURKE:

10 Dunn, and Postal Inspector Hope Cerda.

7

8

9

11

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

12 MR. MILLIOEN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

Charles Millioen with Federal Defenders of New York, and 13

I'm here on behalf of Patrice Runner, who's seated to my

right in custody. And also at counsel table is

16 co-counsel James Darrow, also with my office.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. 17

So you don't see a court reporter here. We don't have one. But I assure you, everything is recorded. So, for that reason, I'm just going to make sure everybody speak to the mic. I'll have you speak at your seats. Don't feel that you have to get up. We just

23 have to make sure that we get everything.

24 So this is a bail hearing that I'm handling for

25 Judge Seybert. Before we get into that, I'm also

handling the jury selection in this case, and that's going to be -- that's set for May 30th. That's going forward. But as long as I have you here, I want to go through some housekeeping for that.

I would like some proposed voir dire. I'd like that by the 23rd. I know you've got other pretrial submissions for Judge Seybert. So include this. I need proposed voir dire. I'd also like you to speak to each other and collaborate and come up with a statement, just a short one paragraph at most, explanation of the case that I can read to the jurors. I'm sure you'll be able to agree on something.

And also, one final list of names or entities that I can read to the jurors. It's not necessarily witnesses, but it will be, you know, any names or anything that might come up. I will tell you, don't be overly broad. Like, for example, if there's a bank involved, you don't have to say, you know, the name of the bank. I just recently did a case where you say a name of the bank, of course, everyone's heard of the bank.

So I'll go over a jury selection with you.

We'll find out what everyone does for a living. But as

far as, you know, that list that you'll get together,

names, places, anything that might come up at selection.

So I just wanted to do that before I forgot before we got to this hearing.

All right. So this is a bail hearing. It's my understanding that the defendant is seeking to be released prior to trial. There is currently an order of detention that was entered in this case. I believe it was Judge Locke at the time who issued the initial denial of any bond.

He had found by a preponderance of the evidence that there were no conditions or combination of conditions that would assure the defendant's presence. He mentioned the lack of suretors, the lack of community ties, ties to -- ties outside of this country, and also that there wasn't a bail package offered at that time.

So now this is -- and I'll ask the defense to comment for me as to the grounds for this, the current motion, and particularly their standards for a release to prepare for trial, and there's also if there's new information, to seek bail. So let me hear from defense first and tell me what you want me to consider.

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, your Honor. First off, I think it's apparent that this is the first meaningful bail hearing that Mr. Runner's had. And by meaningful, I mean the defense actually having a bail package to present to the judge. Obviously, I wasn't there at that

- 1 appearance back in December of 2020, but I did look over
- 2 | Randi Chavis's notes. She was the attorney who was
- 3 attorney of record from Federal Defenders of New York.
- 4 | She was present at that hearing.
- 5 Mr. Runner wasn't present. I believe there was 6 some sort of issue over a waiver of appearance, him
- 7 possibly appearing telephonically.
- 8 THE COURT: Was there an extradition, or was 9 this during COVID, or what?
- MR. MILLIOEN: So this was after he'd been extradited to the United States.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MILLIOEN: So he had been in the United
 States. He was in U.S. custody. He appeared for his
 arraignment the day before on December 22nd, and then
 this detention hearing was set for the 23rd.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MILLIOEN: Ms. Chavis wasn't able to put
- 19 any kind of bail package together at that point in time.
- 20 | There was -- I don't know why he wasn't present. There
- 21 | was some talk, or at least some note by Ms. Chavis about
- 22 | some sort of waiver of presence, but he wasn't present.
- 23 | Perhaps COVID factored into that, I don't know.
- But according to Ms. Chavis's notes, though,
- 25 | Judge Locke did say and make it clear on the record that

- we can reapply whenever we do have a bail package to present.
- THE COURT: Right. That's usually the case.

 4 So go ahead.
 - MR. MILLIOEN: Right. So -- I'm sorry. I just note the government made a note that, you know, this shouldn't even be reopened, but I did just want to address that.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, I think when there's new
 10 information -- I certainly have cases where there's no
 11 package. And then if something new comes up that the
 12 defendant didn't know about, or if something changes,
 13 people come in, so --
 - MR. MILLIOEN: Sure. So, your Honor, we have a bail package for the Court. Mr. Runner's son, Axel Runner, and I mentioned this in my letter, he's present here today. He's seated in the front row.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

- MR. MILLIOEN: If you could just stand up.
- And also, Dylan Runner, Mr. Runner's other son is also present. Dylan's just present as a supporter, but Axel is willing to sign a bond.
- Now, I know that the suretor report mentioned that Axel has \$25,000 in cash. He is able to post \$10,000 of that amount, and then he's willing to sign for

an additional amount, and we would request \$200,000. We believe that would be enough moral suasion for Mr. Runner to appear in court.

Now, Mr. Axel Runner needs the additional amount of money that he has saved up to establish a residence throughout the course of this trial, for himself as well as for his dad, and that would be going into our other conditions. So, besides the bond, secured partially by cash, and the rest by Axel's signature, Axel is prepared to act as a third-party custodian.

THE COURT: Does he have a residence here now?

MR. MILLIOEN: He does not right now. He has
been looking at Airbnbs. He's currently staying in a
hotel.

MR. MILLIOEN: Where does he live now?

MR. MILLIOEN: At, like, permanent residence?

17 THE COURT: Where does he live?

MR. MILLIOEN: Well, he's lived in Canada.

19 Right now, he's staying in a hotel here in Suffolk

20 County.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21 THE COURT: For this, for purposes of being

22 here?

MR. MILLIOEN: For purposes of being here.

THE COURT: Okay. And where is he a citizen

25 of?

24

1 MR. MILLIOEN: Of Canada.

THE COURT: Okay. But he does not have a place here right now?

MR. MILLIOEN: Not at this time.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MILLIOEN: And really, he has found, you know, like, Airbnbs that he could get for a month at a time throughout the course of trial. He has the money. It's just really a matter of, you know, this Court's decision. But he's ready, he's willing, prepared to establish that residence here locally.

So, with that being said, we'd also request electronic monitoring and home detention, restricting travel to the Eastern District of New York.

THE COURT: But as far as a place for your client to go, he would have to go live somewhere, and you don't have any place right now for him to go to.

MR. MILLIOEN: At this point in time, he would be able to stay in the hotel with Axel. And then, once Axel is able to get a longer-term residence, then they would be going there.

THE COURT: And what does Axel do for a living?

MR. MILLIOEN: Axel, he's the director of paid

media at a Delaware-based company, Agent Launch, LLC, and

he makes about \$125,000 a year.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did I ask you already
lif he was a citizen? I'm not sure.

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, you did, your Honor.

THE COURT: And he's a citizen of?

MR. MILLIOEN: Canada.

THE COURT: Canada, sorry.

MR. MILLIOEN: And with his employment, he could work remotely. And his employer has -- is aware of this situation, is aware that he will need to work from New York.

THE COURT: Does he work remotely now?

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes. And his employer

13 understands that he would have to actually move to New

14 York. And with regards to acting as a third-party

15 | custodian, that his work schedule might be a little bit

16 | in flux, even though it is remote.

17 THE COURT: What's his family situation? Does

18 he have a spouse? Does he have children? Where does he

19 live? And who does he live with now?

MR. MILLIOEN: Axel?

THE COURT: Yeah.

22 MR. MILLIOEN: If the Court wants to just

23 address Axel Runner, I'm sure he'd be willing to answer

24 these questions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

25 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you -- you can

- 1 stand at the podium and speak into the mic.
- Okay. So I'll just have you state your name
- 3 and relationship to the defendant.
- 4 MR. A. RUNNER: My name is Axel Runner, and I'm
- 5 | Patrice's son.
- 6 THE COURT: And how old are you?
- 7 MR. A. RUNNER: I'm 26 years old.
- THE COURT: Do you have a spouse, a family?
- 9 And who do you live with in Canada?
- 10 MR. A. RUNNER: I do not have a spouse, and I
- 11 | don't have a family. I live with my brother and a friend
- 12 of mine who's also a -- we're roommates essentially, the
- 13 three of us.
- 14 THE COURT: So you're roommates with your
- 15 | brother who's also present in court today?
- MR. A. RUNNER: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. And tell me a little bit
- 18 about your -- what you do for a living, where you do it,
- 19 | what the company does, where they're located.
- 20 MR. A. RUNNER: So I work, like Charlie
- 21 | mentioned, as a director. So it's my job -- I manage a
- 22 | team of people who manage Google and Facebook
- 23 advertisements online for real estate agents across North
- 24 | America. Our team is fully remote because there's no
- 25 office, but we operate within the U.S. and Canadian

```
1 markets.
```

- THE COURT: What's your salary? How are you
- 3 paid?
- 4 MR. A. RUNNER: I get paid monthly about
- 5 | 125,000 -- 10,000 a month.
- THE COURT: Are you a contract employer, or do
- 7 | you have an annual salary? How's that work?
- 8 MR. A. RUNNER: Technically, I do work as a
- 9 contractor, but it's full-time. But I get a fixed
- 10 monthly base salary. And then, on top of that, I have a
- 11 percentage in equity in the company and a percentage of
- 12 profit share that gets paid out month over month.
- 13 THE COURT: And how long have you had this
- 14 | employment?
- 15 MR. A. RUNNER: About a month and 10 -- sorry,
- 16 one year and 10 months, so just under two years.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry. What did you
- 18 | make annually last year?
- MR. BURKE: Last year in U.S. currency, I made
- 20 about 80, but it increases every month, so this year,
- 21 | it's probably going to be about -- in the 125 to 150
- 22 range.
- THE COURT: Okay. And you've known about your
- 24 | father's incarceration for quite a while now, right?
- MR. A. RUNNER: Yes.

- THE COURT: Were you here -- I mean, I'm asking
- 2 | because I wasn't here at the arraignment and hearing.
- 3 | Were you there?
- 4 MR. A. RUNNER: I was not.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. And were you contacted or
- 6 | have you been contacted by pretrial services and
- 7 | interviewed?
- MR. A. RUNNER: No.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
- 10 MR. MILLIOEN: I think he has been, your Honor.
- 11 | A pretrial services surety report was written.
- 12 THE COURT: Can somebody hand that up to me?
- 13 | Because it wasn't -- I know it was sent to me and I know
- 14 | I have it. I just don't have it right now on the bench.
- MR. MILLIOEN: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry if I'm taking your only
- 17 copy.
- 18 MR. MILLIOEN: If I can show it to him --
- MR. A. RUNNER: Oh, yes. Yes. That was about
- 20 two weeks ago.
- THE COURT: About two weeks ago?
- MR. A. RUNNER: Two, three weeks ago, yeah.
- THE COURT: Okay. So you pay rent where you
- 24 live now?
- MR. A. RUNNER: Yes, I pay rent in Canada.

THE COURT: And where are you staying right now?

MR. A. RUNNER: We're staying at the Marriott Hotel. It's about a 10-minute walk from here.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, thanks. You can be 6 seated.

MR. A. RUNNER: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Go on.

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, your Honor. In terms of other conditions, Mr. Runner would agree to stay away from any airport, within one mile, stay-away order from any airport, except to use highways to travel, if need be, to a location other than an airport. Obviously we're --

THE COURT: Does Mr. Runner have any family or any ties to this country?

MR. MILLIOEN: At this point, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And he's a citizen of where?

MR. MILLIOEN: He's a citizen of France and

20 | Canada.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MILLIOEN: And we would also agree to all

23 other standard conditions.

24 THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLIOEN: With a release.

THE COURT: What about -- what else do you want to be considered here? I know that -- as a matter of fact, I saw something on the docket today, where Judge Seybert granted certain particularized access to your client.

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, your Honor. The discovery issue has been difficult, to say the least. Mr. Runner's at MDC. He has been given two hard drives of discovery. And by he, I mean, he has not been given them, but MDC was given one hard drive, and they're keeping it on another side of the facility. So not where he is, but another side that he can't access. And his access is contingent on the COs at MDC to allow him to get there.

THE COURT: What did -- did Judge Seybert address that in her order today?

MR. MILLIOEN: Judge Seybert did address that, in terms of, you know, ordering MDC to allow easier access. But at the end of the day, there are a couple things that are problematic for that: Number one, the lockdowns. I mean, this recent lockdown trend at MDC, it's making it so that the CO availability to even bring him there, it's really contingent on that CO availability.

And the lockdowns, coupled with the shortages of staff members at MDC, are making that completely

unpredictable, and that Mr. Runner does not know when he'll be able to see discovery, and it's really not within his control at all.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MILLIOEN: Also, the discovery -- and I'm sorry. The second hard drive has been received by the facility, but it's not yet been made available to Mr. Runner. So I have the two hard drives. One is on the other side of the facility; one, we don't know where it is.

THE COURT: How much are we talking about it? When we say hard drive, explain to me what that means in terms of amounts.

MR. MILLIOEN: You know, I could even let Mr. Darrow comment on this, because quite frankly, I stepped on the case not that long ago.

THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. MILLIOEN: And from the discovery side -- I
19 know the voluminous nature of it.

THE COURT: No, that's fine. Let him talk if he knows more about it.

MR. DARROW: Thank you, your Honor. And I think the government would agree, this case involves voluminous discovery and exhibits. We're talking about millions of documents and terabytes of data.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DARROW: Mr. Runner has been provided a subset of that, a very small subset. Even that subset is -- it's the biggest amount of discovery I've ever dealt with since I've been at the Federal Defenders, by orders of magnitude. So this case has been pending for a long time, in large part, because of the amount of discovery.

Now, the exhibits that the government has noticed for trial -- and 3,500 is, of course, a much smaller subset of that. But as your Honor knows, a defense requires review of more than just that.

And so our review of the exhibits entails a review of the larger discovery as well. And so we need Mr. Runner focused on the discovery, not least of which is because many of the documents are in French.

THE COURT: Where's your office?

MR. DARROW: I'm based in Brooklyn, your Honor, near the MDC. So it's been me, mainly, coming down to see Mr. Runner with regularity, which is why I know about the difficulties in getting him the discovery. And it's been mostly me dealing with MDC legal -- and I should say, with the assistance of the government. I mean, they've been very good about prodding the MDC when we ask.

The difficulty, your Honor, as counsel mentioned, is two things that's, I think, outside the judge's control: one of which is the lockdowns, which are security issues that are based on staffing, that are outside everyone's control except for the MDC. They're going to do what they do. The fact of the matter, your Honor, is the lockdowns have been frequent. They've been every single weekend, starting on Friday, ending on Monday. And they have extended through the week often in the past months.

That is a time when Mr. Runner cannot view his discovery. The staffing issues mean, as a practical matter, that when he does everything he's supposed to do, ask to be transferred over to the other unit so he can go to the VR to look at his discovery, every single time he has to explain the issue to the new CO on the unit, who then asks Mr. Runner, my client, why don't they just bring the hard drive over here? He doesn't know. It's a good idea.

THE COURT: Do you have a place in your office where you typically can go over discovery with clients?

MR. DARROW: Yes, of course, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MILLIOEN: And also, Mr. Darrow's in Brooklyn. In Central Islip, we actually do have an

office that we've made with a computer that's not connected to the internet that is just there for discovery for our clients who are out of custody.

THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. DARROW: It's essentially a SCIF, your

6 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I now know what that means. Okay. I'm going to ask the government to comment on this.

MR. BURKE: Thank you, your Honor. Between our letter to the Court in response to the motion and our original letter in December 2020, I think we've laid out our position on Mr. Runner as a flight risk and that there should not be a bond in this matter, your Honor. But just briefly, nothing has changed. We don't object to the holding of this hearing, obviously. Mr. Runner has come forward with surety information, and that's before the Court at this point.

We did point out there's no new facts about his risk of flight. The fact that he didn't flee in Spain was known to him when he appeared here in 2020, and otherwise, all of our arguments from our detention letter in 2020 remain valid and in force.

THE COURT: You know what, let me just go back to defense. Is there anything -- issue you wanted to

1 | bring to my attention about your client's health?

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, your Honor. I did want to note that as well. He is still having urine in his blood. Mr. Runner has made many, many requests to see medical, and he was able to see a doctor about the urine in his blood, but he was more or less told everything seems to be fine, yet there's still urine in his blood.

The rest of his requests have gone unanswered.

He's still experiencing vertigo-like symptoms, possibly related to anxiety. Chronic insomnia. He wakes up in the middle of the night with migraines. Again, I'm not a doctor, but I would imagine it's also related to the anxiety that he's facing right now in MDC.

THE COURT: Did he get the COVID vaccine? It says that he asked for it and he didn't receive it.

MR. MILLIOEN: He's still not received the COVID vaccine.

THE COURT: Government look into that at all?

MR. BURKE: Your Honor, we can make -- we could

20 inquire at MDC. I don't know their policies or their

21 procedures on vaccinating inmates.

THE COURT: It was my understanding, if somebody asked for it, they would get it. Maybe now that's changed, I don't know. But this person's asking for it.

So let me ask you this, let me ask the defense this. Has there ever been any request for a medical order to be issued in this case?

MR. DARROW: Your Honor, I can speak to that.

THE COURT: Please.

MR. DARROW: I have put in at least one -- at least one request to MDC legal -- two requests medically to MDC legal on -- so one on the COVID issue, back when COVID was still a national emergency -- it's sort of passed into our wake -- and on the blood and the urine issue, as soon as I was made aware of it.

And the problem, your Honor, and the reason -the answer is no, I've not requested an order from the
judge. But the reason why is we keep getting told it's
going to happen. It will happen, you know, he's going to
be seen next week. And then Mr. Runner is told, oh yeah,
yeah, you're on the list.

THE COURT: That's even on the vaccine?

MR. DARROW: No, I'm speaking only to the

doctor issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DARROW: On the vaccine, it's really been

23 just radio silence.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DARROW: That's a more widespread issue,

- your Honor. I can just proffer that I have other clients
 there who have had this issue when they've asked more
 recently. I don't know why. But I think of greater
 concern, I think these days, your Honor, is not so much
 the COVID, but the fact that he's got blood in his urine.
- THE COURT: I'm not a doctor either, so I'm
 not -- I can't say whether that's --

- 8 MR. DARROW: Me neither. I just -- speaking as 9 a lay person --
 - THE COURT: -- something I can't see. He's been seen for it, and he's been told, don't worry about it? Or has he just not been seen for it?
 - MR. DARROW: He was, after a great effort, he was finally seen by some sort of medical person at the MDC, and he was told that everything was fine. That's what we have.
 - THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else that defense wants me to know that's either not in the letter or that I haven't -- you haven't had the opportunity to discuss?
 - MR. MILLIOEN: I don't know in terms of the Court and concerns regarding flight and France, specifically in regards to their extradition policy, but I don't. --
- THE COURT: And from what I've read, it says

that France does not extradite its own citizens?

MR. MILLIOEN: And quite frankly, I don't know where the government's getting that. I mean, I'm familiar with their extradition policy. Just like the U.S., they're not obligated to extradite their citizens, but they --

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know. I don't know.

MR. MILLIOEN: In the treaty itself, they very well will extradite their citizens. And in fact, if they don't agree to extradite it -- extradite one of their citizens, then the case is submitted to their own authorities for prosecution.

THE COURT: Right. But it wouldn't be in two weeks, right? Certainly not.

Anything the government wants to add?

MR. BURKE: Your Honor, I think the risk of flight is possibly heightened two weeks out from trial.

As you're noting, we are very close to picking a jury and starting a trial here. The government does not think that Mr. Runner moving into a hotel with his son is sufficient assurance that he'll appear, in terms of a stable location for him to live at or addressing any of

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you all.

the other risk of flight concerns that we've raised.

25 I'll have a decision on this pretty quickly, but just not

1 right now from the bench. I want to think about it for a 2 bit.

Is there anything else that you wanted to say?

MR. MILLIOEN: Yes, your Honor. I'm very

sorry. There was one other thing.

THE COURT: That's okay.

MR. MILLIOEN: We spoke to a representative of the marshals today regarding where Mr. Runner is going to be throughout the course of this trial. And today we were told that it's very well likely he's going to be in MDC, which from the standpoint of our ability to consult with Mr. Runner throughout the course of the trial, weeknights are going to be, practically speaking, we're not going to be able to consult with him.

The legal team will be staying in Central Islip. If he's going back to Brooklyn, there's just no way we're going to be back in Brooklyn. And even if we were to get back in Brooklyn, attorneys typically have to wait at least an hour.

THE COURT: Would you make a request that he be housed -- and again, I cannot tell marshals what to do, but would you make a request that he be housed in either Nassau or Suffolk if possible?

MR. MILLIOEN: We can make the request. Again,

I don't -- and that's just sort of the unknown here, in

1 that we can make the request, but are the marshals going 2 to do it? We don't know.

But at the same time, based on what we're hearing today that it's looking like MDC, that's the most recent word we've got on it.

THE COURT: Right, but that's every case that's here. Every case that we try here, every criminal case, are they all housed in MDC?

MR. MILLIOEN: Well, some are out here in Suffolk. And I think, again, going to the issue of, you know, this not being just a felon in possession of a firearm, where regular communication with our clients can be needed as trial's unfolding. I mean, the government's turned over hundreds of exhibits. Based on what exhibits they're entering each day, we're going to have to consult with him about those exhibits on a day-to-day basis.

THE COURT: Right. How long is this trial supposed to last?

MR. DARROW: Your Honor, the government has said two calendar weeks, given the trial schedule for its case, and then we'll have a case.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's not Fridays? I don't know if Judge Seybert set some Fridays.

MR. DARROW: That's right, your Honor. I think the government's case will take eight days, as they've

1 estimated, and then we have a case after that. So three 2 calendar weeks at least.

THE COURT: I'm also asking that for purposes of selection as well.

MR. DARROW: Of course.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MILLIOEN: And again, the lockdown schedule has been particularly spiking on weekends at MDC, which is also going to affect our ability to see him, and that delays everything, even for legal visits.

For the legal visits at MDC, we are allowed to bring in a laptop. We can't even bring in a laptop cord. We can't bring a power cord. So, even for our visits with him over the weekend and spending a significant amount of time with him, MDC's policies are just not conducive to allowing meaningful attorney consultation on a case like this, with these sort of particular issues, a 20-year alleged span of activity that the government's alleging.

And if the Court -- I did just really want to say, the Court -- I'm sorry, the government did, in their brief, cite a few cases addressing that, complexity of the case, voluminous discovery, that's not enough under 3142(i) for a temporary release.

And I think what's interesting in looking at

those cases, the difference in the posture of those defendants than Mr. Runner, in the <u>Dupree</u> case, that defendant was initially released on bond, and then he was arrested after he committed new financial crimes. So he brings this 3142(i) motion after having committed new crimes while he was out, getting taken in, then he made four unsuccessful bail applications before moving for temporary release.

Their second case, <u>Petters</u>, P-E-T-T-E-R-S, that defendant was initially ordered detained because he was discussing fleeing the country with a cooperating witness, specifically to countries that don't extradite. He obtained false information, researched heavily, discussed leaving also with the mother of his children, and told the cooperating witness that he had previously fled criminal charge in Colorado.

And the final case that they cited, <u>Birbragher</u>, B-I-R-B-R-A-G-H-E-R, the Iowa District Court case, that defendant admittedly participated in international arms and drug trafficking ring; and the organization that he was associated with, there were murders that were attributable to that. So --

THE COURT: Every bail decision is different, right? The standards might be the same. In this case, I take it you're looking at it both as an application for

bail, you know, based on change in circumstances from the
initial bail, and you're also asking for it to prepare
for trial.

MR. MILLIOEN: That's correct.

THE COURT: So it's on both.

MR. MILLIOEN: That's correct, your Honor. I just wanted the court to sort of be aware of what really the context of those defendants, and that Mr. Runner has not had any of those types of egregious issues.

THE COURT: Let me ask the government, is there any other facts with respect to Mr. Runner's -- other than, you know, what we've already said here -- his situation that makes this case different?

And also, would you agree in terms of how long the trial would be? And do you know anything about somebody who's housed during trial here at MDC, and the ability to get them housed here, or anything like that?

MR. BURKE: Your Honor, on that last point, the government does not have any control over -- we do not have any control over where he's housed. We'd be happy to join the request that he be moved out closer to this court, or have it be an unopposed or joint request to the marshals. And we'd be happy to do that.

In terms of the length of trial, that is our estimate, that our case may run as long as two weeks,

given Judge Seybert's schedule of trying the case four days a week. And we don't know how long the defense case would be, but I think three weeks, beginning June 5th, is a good estimate.

THE COURT: And what about particular factual circumstances of this defendant versus other cases?

MR. BURKE: Yes, your Honor. The cases we cited were to lay out the standard --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BURKE: -- under 3142(i), which is an incredibly rarely-used provision to make a different bond determination based on need to prepare for trial, or other extraordinary circumstances.

We are primarily concerned about Mr. Runner's serious risk of flight. He was extradited, he is a citizen of two other countries, and we do think there's a major concern that if he fled and made it to one of those countries, it would be years, and maybe never, that we would see him again to finish this prosecution.

The requested conditions of release are also a major concern. He is -- again, I've said this once, and you questioned his son about his residence in the U.S., and his plan for how to have a place for his father to stay, and those kind of concerns.

Currently staying in a hotel, and then maybe

staying in an Airbnb with a non-U.S. citizen is not a normal condition of release or a surety that gives the Court or the government assurance that Mr. Runner will not flee.

So those are the facts of this case, and we oppose both motions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Was there -- I noticed there was talk at the defense table. Is there anything else that you wanted?

MR. MILLIOEN: If I could just have a moment, just to talk to Mr. Darrow.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Counsel confer)

MR. MILLIOEN: Your Honor, just one other thing as a condition of release, and I've talked to Mr. Runner about this. If the Court does have any concern regarding the extradition status in France, if that will weigh into the Court's opinion -- I mean, without really knowing, I'm not sure -- but Mr. Runner would be willing to execute a written waiver of extradition from France into the United States.

THE COURT: Yeah, and let me just correct something. I know it might have been interpreted of my saying, oh, since it's close to trial, there's a greater tendency to flee. That's not what I meant. What I was

Τ	saying is, when I would say it would be weeks, my	
2	experience, the time in which it takes to extradite	
3	someone is certainly not one week if it came to that.	So
4	that is the only thing I meant to say there.	
5	MR. MILLIOEN: And that's it, your Honor.	

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, all.

MR. DARROW: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. BURKE: Thank you, your Honor.

(Matter concluded)

11 -000-

CERTIFICATE

I, MICHELLE COSTANTINO, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this ${\color{red} {\bf 30th}}$ day of ${\color{red} {\bf April}}$, 2024.

Michelle Costbatino

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.